ANVIK BULK FUEL PROJECT FINAL REPORT

1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. <u>Location & Population</u>

This project is located in ANVIK, Alaska, a community of 108 persons situated on the Anvik River in interior Alaska.

B. Project Participants

The primary participant for this facility upgrade is AVEC, which owns and operates the existing diesel powered generation plant and the bulk fuel storage tanks. A fill pipeline extension was added after construction was started to connect to the Iditarod Area School District's fuel farm.

C. Project Justification

The original AVEC facility was located south of the Anvik River in the flood plain and consequently suffered flood damage on several occasions. In 1996/97 AVEC moved the power plant to a new higher site on the north side of the river, approximately 200' from the school and adjacent to the air strip. The existing tanks were over 20 years old, being vertical in configuration with bolt on lids. They allowed for evaporative losses as well as for precipitation and condensation to enter. The piping, owned by others was all coupled with threaded connections and subject to leakage and the fuel barge had to anchor in the Yukon River's current and connect to the land fill line by long portable hose. The fill pipeline was marginally functional, being undersized and aligned in a manner that made the fill time from the delivery barge very slow. It too was 20 years old and was constructed of a non-standard pipe grade, partially buried in the airport runway without cathodic protection. There was no security fencing around the facility. The existing storage capacity was 51,812 gal of #1 diesel fuel. The 10 year future projection indicates a usable capacity need of 58,000 gals (157% existing usable Using standard 11' diameter by 38' long standard tanks this requirement was met by allocation of three tanks to the project.

D. Project Description and Components

The project consisted of a renovated bulk fuel storage facility for AVEC, consisting of three (3) new 27,000 single wall horizontal tanks (replacing 6 old vertical tanks) installed within a lined earthen berm dike at the location of the existing tank farm, a new above ground fill pipeline from the community's fuel farm to serve both the AVEC and Iditarod Area School District's fuel farms, and a new piping manifold. A new security fence was installed around the power plant and the tank farm.

Component	Quantity	Description	Notes
Fuel Storage Tanks	3 ea	27,000 horizontal, single wall	Skid mounted on timber
			sleepers
4" Fill Line	3,450 lf	Sch. 80 & 40; buried & above ground	A/G on sono-tube
		_	supports
1"2" & 3" Fill Line	220 lf	Sch. 40; above ground	On timber sleepers

2. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT APPROACH & TIMELINE SNAPSHOT

A. <u>Funding:</u> This renovation and upgrade bulk fuel project was encompassed within the original grant request to the Denali Commission by AVEC. It received funding in the amount of \$472,500, as a part of Grant Funds Award 0023-DC-2001-15, March 6, 2001. AVEC provided a cash match of \$52,500 for total initial fund amount of \$525,000. Subsequent funding awards totaling \$153,414 were made by the Denali Commission, making the total funding \$678,414. The Denali grants are defined in table below:

Funding Document	Component	Date
0023-DC-2001-15	Base	March 6, 2001
0023-DC-2001-15	Amendment #1	April 22, 2002
0023-DC-2001-15	Amendment #2	August 12, 2002

B. <u>Design:</u> The design consultant firm of Alaska Energy and Engineering (AE&E) was requested in January 2001 to present a proposal to develop a Conceptual Design Report. The final CDR was developed in February 2002, with design development following. Construction documents were finalized May 23, 2002. Milestones associated with the Design of the project are provided in table following.

Design Phase	Milestone	Date
Business Plan	None Required	NA
CDR	Contract & NTP	February 12, 2001
	Final Issue	January 21, 2002
Design Development	Contract & NTP	January 31, 2002
	Final Issue	Undocumented
Final Design & Const Docs	NTP	Undocumented
	Construction Documents	May 23, 2002

C. <u>Construction</u>: The design consultant firm of AE&E was also contracted to perform procurement and construction administration tasks for the construction phase. A site construction supervisor was hired by the City of Anvik at the recommendation of AE&E, and all labor to perform the construction tasks were hired locally with the exception of the certified welders. Payroll was administered by the accounting firm of Mikunda, Cottrell on behalf of the City of Anvik. Milestones associated with the Construction of the project are provided in table following.

Construction Phase	Milestone	Date	
Pre-construction	Permitting & Site Control	January thru April 2002	
	Procurement (Tanks)	March 15, 2002	
	Material Delivery	March 15 – July 6, 2002	
Construction	Tank Removal & Site Preparation	June 15, 2002	
	Substantial Completion	October 10, 2002	
Turnover & Commission	Fuel Delivery	October 15, 2002	

D. Total Project Time - Design & Construct:

Start: February 12, 2001 Complete: October 15, 2002

Total Project Time: 610 cal days (20.5 mo.)

3. PROJECT FUNDING, DEVELOPMENT COSTS, AND UNITS

A. Funding

Funding was provided by grants from the Denali Commission with an in-kind cash contribution by AVEC. For this project, funding was provided in lump sum amounts, with no designation for allocations to project development components (i.e. design, construction)

Date	Denali Funding Award	Denali	AVEC	Total
March 6, 2001	0023-DC-2001-I5	\$472,500	\$52,500	\$525,000
Apr 22, 2002	0049-DC-2002-I2	\$41,258	\$0	\$41,258
	Amendment #1	Ψ+1,230	ΨΟ	Ψ1,236
Aug 12, 2002	0049-DC-2002-I2	\$112,156	\$0	\$112,156
	Amendment #2	Ψ112,130	ΨΟ	ψ112,130
Total Funding		\$625,914	\$52,500	\$678,414

B. Development Costs

Project development costs were tracked for each of the categories shown in the table below. Since the funding grants were awarded in lump sum amounts, there were not funding amounts (budgets) for each category. Thus there is no variance of actual cost vs. budget for this project. The percent of total project cost for each category of project cost is shown

Component	Funding Budget	Actual Funding	Actual Project Cost	Funding Budget Variance	% of Actual Funding	% of Final Cost
Business Plan	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Planning & Design	\$60,000	(unknown)	\$40,405	(\$19,595)	(unknown)	6.8%
Construction	\$524,419	(unknown)	\$459,443	(\$64,976)	(unknown)	77.5%
Const. Mgmt (AE&E)	\$48,000	(unknown)	\$50,543	\$2,543	(unknown)	8.5%
AVEC Direct Costs	\$6,500	(unknown)	\$16,548	\$10,048	(unknown)	2.8%
AVEC Consultants	\$12,213	(unknown)	\$3,441	(\$8,772)	(unknown)	0.6%
Project Mgmt (AVEC)	\$27,282	(unknown)	\$22,298	(\$4,984)	(unknown)	3.8%
Other	\$0	(unknown)	\$0	\$0	(unknown)	0.0%
TOTAL	\$678,414	\$678,414	\$592,678	(\$85,736)	87%	100%

Project Cost Summary Analysis:

This project underran the available funding \$85,736 (12.6%) as appropriated to this project.

Final Unit Costs & Percentages

It is useful to compare unit costs and percentages of cost against the total project cost for like components of like projects. The following table illustrates some salient unit prices and percentages.

COMPLETED AMO	UNTS	Const Cost	Project Cost	Gal Storage		
		\$521,975	\$592,678	81,000		
COMPL	NIT COSTS					
Item	Item Cost	%	%	\$/Gal		
Business Plan	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Design	0.400	4.007	4.407	Ф0.40		
CDR Design Dev	8,100 32,305	1.2% 5.0%	1.1% 4.4%	\$0.10 \$0.40		
DESIGN TOTAL	\$40,405	6.2%	5.6%	\$0.40		
Construction	ψ+0,+05	0.270	3.070	ψ0.50		
Field Direct Costs	459,443	70.8%	63.3%	\$5.67		
AVEC Direct Costs	11,989	1.8%	1.7%	\$0.15		
Const Admin	50,543	7.8%	7.0%	\$0.62		
CONSTRUCTION TOTAL	\$521,975	80.4%	71.9%	\$6.44		
Program Management						
AVEC & Consultants	\$30,298	4.7%	4.2%	\$0.37		
PROG MGMT TOTAL	\$30,298	4.7%	4.2%	\$0.37		
GRAND TOTALS	\$592,678			\$7.32		
	% Const Cost % Project					
AVEC Cash Match	\$45,865.00	8.8%	7.7%	\$0.57		
Denali Commission Cost E	\$12 - \$14					
Completed Project (\$/gal)	\$7.32					
Variance (fm median-\$/ga	\$5.68					
% Variance (under)	44%					

4. Local Hire & Training

a. Local Hire

A major objective of AVEC, its Construction Management Contractor, and the Denali Commission is to utilize local residents in the execution of the project development to the maximum extent possible. Anvik accomplished this goal well in all three categories: 1) Persons Hired; 2) Local Economy Payroll; and 3) Percentage of total Work Hours.

	Employees		Payroll \$\$		Work Hours	
	Number	%	Payroll \$\$	%	Hours	%
Total	11	100%	\$157,728	100%	6420	100%
Local	9	82%	\$137,421	87%	5843	91%
Non-Local	2	18%	\$20,308	13%	578	9%

b. Job Training

A second objective of AVEC, its Construction Management Contractor, and the Denali Commission is to training local residents of the community in job skills that can be utilized on the project within the village. For this project, there were no skills required, other than pipe welding for which no skilled tradesmen were residing in the village. There was no training required.

Village	Project		Training				
	Туре	No.	Trades	Location	Const Jobs		
TRAINING TO DATE							
ANVIK	Bulk Fuel Storage & Distribution pipelines	0	None		None		